

W Crawford Bassett & Mary Estelle his wife Plaintiff
 Against R Edward Defendant

Costs \$45.00
 \$50
 Jan 21.50

This day this Cause came on to be heard on the Complaint and bill taken for confessed as to R Edward's trustee exhibited and the petition of W H & J H Jenkins filed during the term and was argued by Counsel. By Counsel a term whereof an order of the Court being of opinion that Mary Estelle Bassett the wife of W Crawford Bassett is entitled to the whole of the surplus in the hands of Luther R Edwards trustee by virtue of the provisions of the Act of Assembly Approved April 4-1877 and Common Pleas known as the Married Women's Act doth adjudge order and decree that the said Luther R Edwards trustee after paying the cost of this suit and any other necessary facts to J B Griffin of twenty five dollars for the balance in his hands to Mary Estelle Bassett wife of W Crawford Bassett to her receipt therefor and make report to Court.

Danny Atkinson Robert Bowens Julia Henderson Jos E Saunders & Estelle his wife Phillis Britt Caroline Britt Joseph Britt Thos Britt Jcs Ridley & Crawford his wife Willie Humphord Sally his wife Jesse E Britt Mathus Mowderd & Equally his wife Peyton R Sumner Mary Sumner Julius R Dathan Nat Pittman & Sammie his wife R D Sumner Sally Sumner & Jas Sumner last three minors by Jos E Griffin their trust friend & John Bowens Plaintiff

Against Catharine Cornice & Elizabeth Cornice Defendant

Atkinson & Thos Bowens

Plaintiff

This day this Cause came on to be further heard on the papers formerly read and the report of J E Griffin reporting disbursements of the claim of Mrs Atkinson which she inherited from the real estate of R Bowens deceased together with the exception of the children of Mrs Saunders and J B Sumner and also reporting fragment of a debt due by J B Sumner of \$128.39 and fragment to the Guardian of Hugh H Sumner of \$125.00 and also reporting that he had deposited for the Marine Bank of New York the sum of \$936.00 belonging to the children of James E Saunders & Sumner with certificate of deposit for the same and vouchers as evidence of the payment so indicated in said report and was argued by Counsel. On confirmation of the Court doth adjudge that the said report be confirmed and as to all other matters this Cause is continued.